## PhD Defense Learning Visual Language Models for Video Understanding

Antoine Yang https://antoyang.github.io/ 23rd of November 2023

### Visual language models

- Language is a fundamental aspect of human communication
- Vision is a fundamental aspect of human perception
- -> Developing machines that can process both is crucial e.g. for human-computer interaction, search, customer support, accessibility...



#### Example of a visually-aware chatbot



#### What are they doing? -> Martial arts



## How many men are there? -> 2



#### What does a machine need to do that?

Question-answering ability

• Vision-language understanding





#### Why does the kid trust the man?



#### Scene understanding is not enough!



#### Because the man saved his life!



#### What else do we need?

• Localizing events in time

• Multi-event reasoning





### **Applications: Beyond answering questions**

#### Video-to-text summarization



This video is about a kid that learns kung fu. First the kid is attacked by 6 aggressors. A man appears and defeat them, thereby saving the kid's life. The kid then starts training with the man and becomes stronger day after day. He ends up winning a prestigious competition against his toughest aggressors.

#### • Improved navigation with automatically generated video chapters



#### How To Make The Perfect Pie

5.1 M de vues • il v a 4 ans

Tasty 🖉

Check us out on Facebook! - facebook.com/buzzfeedtasty Credits: https://www.buzzfeed.com/bfmp/videos/67858

Sous-titres



0.21















Pie Crust

Pumpkin Filling

7:37 Apple Pie



#### Contributions

- Video Question Answering
- Just Ask: Learning to Answer Questions from Millions of Narrated Videos (ICCV'21 Oral + TPAMI)
- Zero-Shot Video Question Answering via Frozen Bidirectional Language Models (NeurIPS'22)
- Spatio-Temporal Video Grounding
- TubeDETR: Spatio-Temporal Video Grounding with Transformers (CVPR'22 Oral)
- Dense Video Captioning
- Vid2Seq: Large-Scale Pretraining of a Visual Language Model for Dense Video Captioning (CVPR'23)
- VidChapters-7M: Video Chapters at Scale (NeurIPS'23 D&B)



Antoine Miech (DeepMind)



Arsha Nagrani (Google)

#### Collaborators



Josef Sivic (CIIRC CTU Prague)



Paul Hongsuck Seo (Google)



lvan Laptev (Inria)

Jordi Pont-Tuset

(Google)



Cordelia Schmid (Inria / Google)



Jean Zay (IDRIS)



#### Video Question Answering (VideoQA)



*Open-Ended Question:* Where are the men? *Answer:* Track

Multiple-Choice Question: What are the lined up men doing? Proposal 1: Running Proposal 2: Talking Proposal 3: Shaving

## Challenges

- Videos, questions and answers are highly diverse.
- Manual annotation is expensive.
- Yet prior approaches (e.g. [Le 2020]) are fully-supervised.



[Jang 2017]

*Question:* How many times does the cat lick?

#### Answer: 7 times



*Question:* What does the cat do 3 times?

#### Answer: put head down



*Question:* What is the color of the bulldog?

#### Answer: brown

[Jang 2017] TGIF-QA: Toward Spatio-Temporal Reasoning in Visual Question Answering, Yunseok Jang et al, CVPR 2017. [Le 2020] Hierarchical Conditional Relation Networks for Video Question Answering, Thao Minh Le et al, CVPR 2020.

#### Learning from narrated videos

- Paired (video, speech) data is easy to obtain at scale and helps learning text-video retrieval or action recognition [Miech 2020].
- But (video, speech) data differs from (video, question, answer) data.



#### [Miech 2019]

[Miech 2019] HowTo100M: Learning a Text-Video Embedding by Watching Hundred Million Narrated Video Clips, Antoine Miech et al, ICCV 2019. 16 [Miech 2020] End-to-End Learning of Visual Representations from Uncurated Instructional Videos, Antoine Miech et al, CVPR 2020.

### Leveraging language models

Let's apply question generation language models [Raffel 2020] trained on text-only annotations [Rajpurkar 2016] to the narration.

#### Manually annotated QA text corpus



#### [Rajpurkar 2016]

[Rajpurkar 2016] SQuAD: 100,000+ Questions for Machine Comprehension of Text, Pranav Rajpurkar et al, EMNLP 2016. [Raffel 2020] Exploring the Limits of Transfer Learning with a Unified Text-to-Text Transformer, Colin Raffel et al, JMLR 2020.

#### Generating video-question-answer triplets



Sentence-aligned video v

# HowToVQA69M: a large-scale VideoQA training dataset



#### Noise in HowToVQA69M



**Speech:** So you bring it to a point and we'll, just cut it off at the bottom.

**Generated question:** What do we do at the bottom? **Generated answer:** cut it off

> **√** ≈ 30%



**Speech:** So you bring it to a point and we'll, just cut it off at the bottom.

**Generated question:** What color did you peel on the other side?

Generated answer: orange

Wrong QA Generation ≈ 31%



Speech: You can't miss this... Generated question: What can't you do? Generated answer: miss

Weak video-speech correlation ≈ 39% 20

#### VQA-T model and training procedure



## iVQA: a new manually collected, open-ended VideoQA benchmark

• 10K videos from HowTo100M, each annotated with a question about objects and scenes.

5 different answers collected per question.



Question: What shape is the handcraft item in the end?



Reduced language bias.



Question: What is the chef wearing over her shirt? Answer: apron

> Easy to guess without watching => excluded

# VQA-T can do zero-shot VideoQA with no manual supervision of visual data.

The VQA-T model pretrained on HowToVQA69M outperforms its text-only variant and its variant pretrained on HowTo100M directly.

Method	Pretraining Data	iVQA	MSRVTT-QA	MSVD-QA	ActivityNet-QA	How2QA
Random	Ø	0.09	0.02	0.05	0.05	25.0
QA-T	HowToVQA69M	4.4	2.5	4.8	11.6	38.4
VQA-T	HowTo100M	1.9	0.3	1.4	0.3	46.2
VQA-T	HowToVQA69M	12.2	2.9	7.5	12.9	51.1

#### Qualitative zero-shot results on iVQA

**Demo:** <u>http://videoqa.paris.inria.fr/</u> & <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8ZjnbehPzmE</u>



Question: What is the man cutting? GT answer: pipe QA-T (HowToVQA69M): onion VQA-T (HowTo100M): knife holder Ours: pipe



Question: What is the largest object at the right of the man? GT answer: wheelbarrow QA-T (HowToVQA69M): statue VQA-T (HowTo100M): trowel Ours: wheelbarrow



Question: What fruit is shown in the end? GT answer: watermelon QA-T (HowToVQA69M): pineapple VQA-T (HowTo100M): slotted spoon Ours: watermelon

#### Qualitative zero-shot results on iVQA



#### VQA-T achieves SoTA after finetuning.

Method	Pretraining Data	MSRVTT-QA	MSVD-QA	ActivityNet-QA	How2QA
HCRN [Le 2020]	Ø	35.6	36.1	-	-
HERO [Li 2020]	HowTo100M	-	-	-	74.1
ClipBERT [Lei 2021]	COCO + VG	37.4	-	-	-
CoMVT [Seo 2021]	HowTo100M	39.5	42.6	38.8	82.3
Just Ask (∅)	Ø	39.6	41.2	36.8	80.8
Just Ask	HowToVQA69M	41.5	46.3	38.9	84.4

[Le 2020] Hierarchical Conditional Relation Networks for Video Question Answering, Thao Minh Le et al, CVPR 2020. [Li 2020] HERO: Hierarchical Encoder for Video+Language Omni-representation Pre-training, Linjie Li et al, EMNLP 2020. [Lei 2021] Less is More: ClipBERT for Video-and-Language Learning via Sparse Sampling, Jie Lei et al, CVPR 2021. [Seo 2021] Look Before you Speak: Visually Contextualized Utterances, Paul Hongsuck Seo et al, CVPR 2021.

# HowToVQA69M pretraining improves generalization to rare answers.

Results on subsets of iVQA corresponding to four quartiles with Q1 and Q4 corresponding to samples with most frequent and least frequent answers:

Pretraining Data	Finetuning	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Ø	✓	38.4	16.7	5.9	2.6
HowTo100M	✓	46.7	22.0	8.6	3.6
HowToVQA69M	×	9.0	8.0	9.5	7.7
HowToVQA69M	1	47.9	28.1	15.6	8.5

# Neural QA generation improves over rule-based QA generation.

Generation method	Finetuning	iVQA	ActivityNet-QA	How2QA
[Heilman 2010] (rule-based)	×	7.4	1.1	41.7
Just Ask (neural)	×	12.2	12.9	51.1
[Heilman 2010] (rule-based)	1	31.4	38.5	83.0
Just Ask (neural)	1	35.4	38.9	84.4



ASR: And then just squeeze it through like that. Question (Heilman et al): What do then just squeeze through like that? Answer (Heilman et al): it Question (ours): How do you do it? Answer (ours): squeeze it through



ASR: It is a staple in a lot of asian kitchens. Question (Heilman et al): What is it? Answer (Heilman et al): a staple in a lot of asian kitchens

**Question (ours):** In what type of kitchens is it a staple?

Answer (ours): asian kitchens



ASR: And you want it over a very low heat. Question (Heilman et al): What do you want it over? Answer (Heilman et al): over a very low heat Question (ours): What kind of heat do you want it to be over? Answer (ours): low heat

[Heilman 2010] Good Question! Statistical Ranking for Question Generation, Michael Heilman et al, ACL 2010.

# Our method scales with the size of the pretraining dataset.

Fraction of HowTo100M videos	ZS iVQA	ZS MSVD-QA	Finetuning iVQA	Finetuning MSVD-QA
1%	4.5	3.6	24.2	42.8
10%	9.1	6.2	29.2	44.4
20%	9.5	6.8	31.3	44.8
50%	11.3	7.3	32.8	45.5
100%	12.2	7.5	35.4	46.3

# Our VideoQA generation approach generalizes to video alt-text descriptions.

Starting from WebVid2M [Bain 2021], we generate WebVidVQA3M, a dataset of 3M VideoQA triplets, with our approach.

Pretraining Data	Fine	iVQA	MSRVTT-QA	MSVD-QA	ActivityNet-QA	How2QA
	tuning					
HowToVQA69M	×	12.2	2.9	7.5	12.9	51.1
WebVidVQA3M	×	7.3	5.3	12.3	6.2	49.8
HowToVQA69M + WebVidVQA3M	×	13.3	5.6	13.5	12.3	53.1
Ø	1	23.0	39.6	41.2	36.8	80.8
HowToVQA69M	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	35.4	41.5	46.3	38.9	84.4
WebVidVQA3M	1	28.1	41.2	45.4	38.1	82.4
HowToVQA69M + WebVidVQA3M	~	35.2	41.8	47.5	39.0	85.3

### Conclusion

- We automatically generate a large-scale VideoQA dataset, HowToVQA69M, using language models and narrated videos.
- We manually collect iVQA, a new VideoQA benchmark with redundant annotations and reduced language bias.
- We show that a video-question model trained contrastively with an answer model highly benefits from pretraining on HowToVQA69M: The resulting VQA-T model is capable of zero-shot generalization and achieves SoTA results on 4 existing benchmarks after finetuning.

#### Limitations

- Generating data is expensive (10K GPUH for HowToVQA69M).
- The generation also relies on text QA manual annotations.
- The VQA-T model cannot use the speech modality.

# Multi-modal few-shot learning with frozen autoregressive language models

- Frozen autoregressive language models can tackle zero-shot VQA [Tsimpoukelli 2021] without data generation.
- But they require billions of parameters to work well hence are difficult to train and deploy.



[Tsimpoukelli 2021]

## Bidirectional masked language models (BiLM)

- [Schick 2021] shows light BiLM can compete with large autoregressive language models in text-only tasks using cloze task formulations.
- Can we tackle zero-shot VideoQA with light BiLM?

Autoregressive language models [BOS] -> The The -> dog The dog -> is The dog is -> running The dog is running -> in The dog is running in -> the The dog is running in the -> snow The dog is running in the snow -> EOS Bidirectional language models (BiLM) The dog is [MASK] in the snow -> running

[Schick 2021] It's not just size that matters: Small language models are also few-shot learners, Timo Schick et al, NAACL 2021.

### Connecting frozen BiLM and visual backbone



**Frame 1** ... **Frame T** [He 2021] DeBERTa: Decoding-enhanced BERT with Disentangled Attention, Pengcheng He et al, ICLR 2021.

#### Downstream task adaptation

The **answer embedding module** is initialized from the *frozen* masked language modeling head and maps a [MASK] token to an answer.

• Open-ended VideoQA:

"[CLS] Question: <Question>? Answer: [MASK]. Subtitles: <Subtitles> [SEP]"

• Multiple-choice VideoQA:

''[CLS] Question: <Question>? Is it '<Answer Candidate>'? [MASK]. Subtitles: <Subtitles> [SEP]''

• Video-conditioned fill-in-the-blank:

"[CLS] <Sentence with a [MASK] token>. Subtitles: <Subtitles> [SEP]"

## Bidirectional models perform better with less parameters than autoregressive models.

#### We find that the suffix (specific to BiLM) is crucial to performance.

Method	Language Model	LM Params	Train time (GPUH)	iVQA	MSRVTT- QA	MSVD- QA	ActivityNet- QA	TGIF-QA
	GPT-Neo-1.3B	1.3B	200	6.6	4.2	10.1	17.8	14.4
Autoreg	GPT-Neo-2.7B	2.7B	360	9.1	7.7	17.8	17.4	20.1
1000110	GPT-J-6B	6B	820	21.4	9.6	26.7	24.5	37.3
	BERT-Base	110M	24	12.4	6.4	11.7	16.7	23.1
Bidirecti	BERT-Large	340M	60	12.9	7.1	13.0	19.0	21.5
onar	DeBERTa-V2-XLarge	890M	160	27.3	16.8	32.2	24.7	41.0

### FrozenBiLM is SoTA for zero-shot VideoQA.

Method	Training Data	LSMDC	iVQA	MSRVTT -QA	MSVD -QA	Activity Net-QA	TGIF- QA	How2 QA	TVQA
Random	-	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	25.0	20.0
ViT-L/14 [Radford 21]	CLIP	1.2	9.2	2.1	7.2	1.2	3.6	47.7	26.1
Just Ask [Yang 2022]	HowToVQA69M + WebVidVQA3M	-	13.3	5.6	13.5	12.3	-	53.1	-
Reserve [Zellers 22]	YT-Temporal-1B	31.0	-	5.8	-	-	-	-	-
FrozenBiLM	WebVid10M [Bain 2021]	51.5	26.8	16.7	33.8	25.9	41.9	58.4	59.7
GPT-4 [OpenAl 23]	???	45.7							

[Radford 2021] Learning Transferable Visual Models From Natural Language Supervision, Alec Radford et al, NeurIPS 2021.

[Yang 2022] Learning to Answer Visual Questions from Web Videos, Antoine Yang et al, TPAMI 2022.

[Zellers 22] MERLOT Reserve: Neural Script Knowledge through Vision and Language and Sound, Rowan Zellers et al, CVPR 2022.

[Bain 2021] Frozen in Time: A Joint Video and Image Encoder for End-to-End Retrieval, Max Bain et al, ICCV 2021.

[OpenAI 2023] https://openai.com/research/gpt-4

## Qualitative zero-shot results (open-ended)

#### More examples: <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4aLSUvSirOA</u>



Question: What is the man holding at the start of the video? GT answer: guitar, electric guitar Just Ask: typewriter UnFrozenBiLM: beer FrozenBiLM (text-only): scissors FrozenBiLM: guitar



Question: What item hanging on the wall features a tree? GT answer: quilt Just Ask: christmas tree UnFrozenBiLM: fabric FrozenBiLM (text-only): tree FrozenBiLM: quilt



Question: Which category of sports does this sport belong to? GT answer: surfing Just Ask: second UnFrozenBiLM: swimming FrozenBiLM (text-only): 1 FrozenBiLM: surfing

### Qualitative zero-shot results (multiple-choice)



**Question:** When did the chef flipped over the layer of rice and seaweed?

GT answer: A0

**A0:** after she sprinkled sesame

A1: after she added cucumber

A2: after she added fish

A3: after she cut the cucumbers

UnFrozenBiLM: A3 FrozenBiLM (text-only):A1 FrozenBiLM: A0

### Qualitative zero-shot results (fill-in-the-blank)



Sentence: Each singer in the front row \_\_\_\_\_ a huge toad. GT answer: holds UnFrozenBiLM: plays FrozenBiLM (text-only): wears FrozenBiLM: holds



Sentence: Someone \_\_\_\_\_ him to the truck and across the street. GT answer: chases UnFrozenBiLM: follow FrozenBiLM (text-only): drags FrozenBiLM: chases



Sentence: A woman wraps food in newspapers and brings it over to their \_\_\_\_\_. GT answer: table UnFrozenBiLM: man FrozenBiLM (text-only): home FrozenBiLM: table

#### Qualitative zero-shot results



FrozenBiLM text-only: drags FrozenBiLM (Ours): chases

#### FrozenBiLM is competitive in fully-supervised setting.

Method	Trained Params	LSMDC	iVQA	MSRVTT -QA	MSVD- QA	Activity Net-QA	TGIF -QA	How2QA	TVQA
Just Ask [Yang 2022]	157M	-	35.4	41.8	47.5	39.0	-	85.3	-
SiaSamRea [Yu 2021]	-	-	41.6	45.5	-	39.8	60.2	84.1	-
MERLOT [Zellers 2021]	223M	52.9	-	43.1	-	41.4	69.5	-	78.7
Reserve [Zellers 2022]	644M	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	86.1
UnFrozenBiLM	890M	58.9	37.7	45.0	53.9	43.2	66.9	87.5	79.6
FrozenBiLM	30M	63.5	39.6	47.0	54.8	43.2	68.6	86.7	82.0

[Yang 2022] Learning to Answer Visual Questions from Web Videos, Antoine Yang et al, TPAMI 2022.

[Yu 2021] Learning from Inside: Self-driven Siamese Sampling and Reasoning for Video Question Answering, Weijiang Yu et al, NeurIPS 2021.

[Zellers 2021] MERLOT: Multimodal Neural Script Knowledge Models, Rowan Zellers et al, NeurIPS 2021.

[Zellers 2022] MERLOT Reserve: Neural Script Knowledge through Vision and Language and Sound, Rowan Zellers et al, CVPR 2022.

#### FrozenBiLM is efficient in few-shot settings.

Supervision	LSMDC	iVQA	MSRVTT-QA	MSVD-QA	ActivityNet-QA	TGIF-QA	How2QA	TVQA
0% (zero-shot)	51.5	26.8	16.7	33.8	25.9	41.9	58.4	59.2
1% (few-shot)	56.9	31.1	36.0	46.5	33.2	55.1	71.7	72.5
10% (few-shot)	59.9	35.3	41.7	51.0	37.4	61.2	75.8	77.6
100% (fully-supervised)	63.5	39.6	47.0	54.8	43.2	68.6	86.7	82.0

# FrozenBiLM benefits from both visual and speech inputs.

Visual	Speech	LSMDC	iVQA	MSRVTT-QA	MSVD-QA	Activity-QA	TGIF-QA	How2QA	TVQA	
×	X	47.9	11.0	6.4	11.3	22.6	32.3	29.6	23.2	
X	1	49.8	13.2	6.5	11.7	23.1	32.3	45.9	44.1	
1	X	50.9	26.2	16.9	33.7	25.9	41.9	41.9	29.7	K
1	1	51.5	26.8	16.7	33.8	25.9	41.9	58.4	59.2	

#### Benefits of freezing with adapter training

Freeze	Adapter	LSMDC	iVQA	MSRVTT-QA	MSVD-QA	ActivityNet-QA	TGIF-QA	How2QA	TVQA	
×	×	37.1	21.0	17.6	31.9	20.7	30.7	45.7	45.6	
1	×	50.7	27.3	16.8	32.2	24.7	41.0	53.5	53.4	K
1	1	51.5	26.8	16.7	33.8	25.9	41.9	58.4	59.2	$\mathbf{r}$

### Conclusion

- We present FrozenBiLM, a framework that handles multi-modal inputs using frozen bidirectional language models and enables zero-shot VideoQA through masked language modeling.
- We show the superiority of FrozenBiLM over prior autoregressive language models for zero-shot VideoQA.
- FrozenBiLM largely improves the SoTA in zero-shot VideoQA on 8 benchmarks, shows competitive performance in the fully-supervised setting and strong results in few-shot settings.

#### Limitations

- FrozenBiLM cannot use raw audio inputs (beyond speech transcripts).
- Does FrozenBiLM generalize well to more complex text generation tasks such as video captioning like autoregressive models?
- FrozenBiLM, like most visual language models, cannot tackle localization tasks.

## **Dense Video Captioning**

- Task: generate temporally localized captions for all events in an untrimmed minutes-long video.
- Prior approaches (e.g. [Wang 2021]): are task specific and trained only on manually annotated datasets.



Example from the ActivityNet-Captions dataset [Krishna 2017].

[Krishna 2017] Dense-Captioning Events in Videos, Ranjay Krishna et al, ICCV 2017. [Wang 2021] End-to-End Dense Video Captioning with Parallel Decoding, Teng Wang et al, ICCV 2021.

#### Localization as language modeling

- Pix2seq [Chen 2022] casts object detection as sequence generation.
- Spatial coordinates are quantized and tokenized.



### The Vid2Seq model

- Formulates dense video captioning as a sequence-to-sequence problem.
- Time is quantized and jointly tokenized with the text.
- Model architecture: visual encoder, text encoder and text decoder.



Input transcribed speech 3.02s  $\rightarrow$  4.99s: Please stay calm! 42.87s  $\rightarrow$  45.97s: Hey my friend!

#### Pretraining Vid2Seq on untrimmed narrated videos

- Speech is also cast as a single sequence of text and time tokens.
- Generative objective: given visual inputs, predict speech.
- **Denoising objective:** given visual inputs and noisy speech, predict masked speech tokens.



#### Vid2Seq is SoTA on video captioning tasks.



[Wang 2021] End-to-End Dense Video Captioning with Parallel Decoding, Teng Wang et al, ICCV 2021.

[Zhu 2022] End-to-end Dense Video Captioning as Sequence Generation, Wanrong Zhu et al, COLING 2022.

[Lei 2020] MART: Memory-Augmented Recurrent Transformer for Coherent Video Paragraph Captioning, Jie Lei et al, ACL 2020.

[Seo 2022] End-to-end Generative Pretraining for Multimodal Video Captioning, Paul Hongsuck Seo et al, CVPR 2022.

[Lin 2022] SwinBERT: End-to-End Transformers with Sparse Attention for Video Captioning, Kevin Lin et al, CVPR 2022.

# Vid2Seq has competitive event localization performance without task-specific design.

Model	YouCook2		Vi	ТТ	ActivityNet Captions		
	Recall	Precision	Recall	Precision	Recall	Precision	
SoTA	20.7	20.6	32.2	32.1	59.0	60.3	
Vid2Seq	27.9	27.8	42.6	46.2	52.7	53.9	

[Wang 2021] End-to-End Dense Video Captioning with Parallel Decoding, Teng Wang et al, ICCV 2021. [Zhu 2022] End-to-end Dense Video Captioning as Sequence Generation, Wanrong Zhu et al, COLING 2022.

# Vid2Seq generalizes well to few-shot settings.

We also find that pretraining is crucial for few-shot generalization.

Data	YouCook2				ViTT		ActivityNet Captions			
	SODA	CIDEr	METEOR	SODA	CIDEr	METEOR	SODA	CIDEr	METEOR	
1%	2.4	10.1	3.3	2.0	7.4	1.9	2.2	6.2	3.2	
10%	3.8	18.4	5.2	10.7	28.6	6.0	4.3	20.0	6.1	
50%	6.2	32.1	7.6	12.5	38.8	7.8	5.4	27.5	7.8	
100%	7.9	47.1	9.3	13.5	43.5	8.5	5.8	30.1	8.5	

# Benefits of pretraining on untrimmed videos

Unlike standard video captioning pretrained models, Vid2Seq is pretrained on *untrimmed* narrated videos (where speech sentences are split by the time tokens).

Pretraining input		Y	′ouCook2	2	ActivityNet Captions			
Untrimmed	Time tokens	SODA	CIDEr	F1	SODA	CIDEr	F1	
X	X	4.0	18.0	18.1	5.4	18.8	49.2	
✓	×	5.5	27.8	20.5	5.5	26.5	52.1	
1	1	7.9	47.1	27.3	5.8	30.1	52.4	

### Effect of pretraining losses and modalities

The visual inputs only model benefits from the generative objective. The denoising objective helps the model with visual+speech inputs.

Finetuning Input		Pretraining losses		YouCook2			ActivityNet Captions		
Visual	Speech	Generative	Denoising	SODA	CIDEr	F1	SODA	CIDEr	F1
1	X	No pretraining		3.0	15.6	15.4	5.4	14.2	46.5
1	1	No pretraining		4.0	18.0	18.1	5.4	18.8	49.2
1	X	1	×	5.7	25.3	23.5	5.9	30.2	51.8
1	1	1	×	2.5	10.3	15.9	4.8	17.0	48.8
1	1	1	1	7.9	47.1	27.3	5.8	30.1	52.4

### Captioning helps localization after pretraining.

Contextualizing the noisy speech boundaries with their semantic content is important.

Captioning	Pretraining	Y	′ouCook2	2	ActivityNet Captions			
		Recall	Precis	F1	Recall	Precis.	F1	
×	X	17.8	19.4	17.7	47.3	57.9	52.0	
1	X	17.2	20.6	18.1	42.5	64.1	49.2	
×	1	25.7	21.4	22.8	52.5	53.0	51.1	
1	1	27.9	27.8	27.3	52.7	53.9	52.4	

#### Data and model scaling.

Language Model	Pretraining		Yo	ouCook	2	ActivityNet Captions			
	# Videos	Dataset	SOD A	CID Er	F1	SOD A	CIDEr	F1	
T5-Small	15M	YTT	6.1	31.1	24.3	5.5	26.5	52.2	
T5-Base	0	-	4.0	18.0	18.1	5.4	18.8	49.2	
T5-Base	15K	YTT	6.3	35.0	24.4	5.1	24.4	49.9	
T5-Base	150K	YTT	7.3	40.1	26.7	5.4	27.2	51.3	
T5-Base	1M5	YTT	7.8	45.5	26.8	5.6	28.7	52.2	
T5-Base	1M	HTM	8.3	48.3	26.6	5.8	28.8	53.1	
T5-Base	15M	YTT	7.9	47.1	27.3	5.8	30.1	52.4	

#### Qualitative results

#### More examples: <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3oEHSU5ExsI</u>



#### Qualitative results



#### Qualitative results



### Conclusion

- Vid2Seq is a visual language model for dense video captioning.
- Vid2Seq can be effectively pretrained on unlabeled narrated videos at scale.
- The pretrained Vid2Seq model improves the SoTA on 3 dense video captioning datasets, 2 video paragraph captioning datasets, 2 video clip captioning datasets, and generalizes well to few-shot setting.

#### Limitations

- Vid2Seq cannot use raw audio inputs (beyond speech transcripts).
- Does Vid2Seq generalize to other tasks, e.g. VideoQA or temporal action localization?
- Pretraining gains are subject to video domain -> Vid2Seq event localization performance is below task-specific approaches on ActivityNet Captions.

### Contributions

#### Video Question Answering

- Just Ask: Learning to Answer Questions from Millions of Narrated Videos (ICCV'21 Oral + TPAMI)
- Zero-Shot Video Question Answering via Frozen Bidirectional Language Models (NeurIPS'22)
- Spatio-Temporal Video Grounding
- TubeDETR: Spatio-Temporal Video Grounding with Transformers (CVPR'22 Oral)
- Dense Video Captioning
- Vid2Seq: Large-Scale Pretraining of a Visual Language Model for Dense Video Captioning (CVPR'23)
- VidChapters-7M: Video Chapters at Scale (NeurIPS'23 D&B)

#### Future work - localized dialog

Build flexible visual language models that can dialog about untrimmed videos and also ground their generated text in space and time.



[Koh 2023] Grounding Language Models to Images for Multimodal Inputs and Outputs, Jing Yu Koh et al, ICML 2023. [Zhou 2023] Dense Video Object Captioning from Disjoint Supervision, Xingyi Zhou et al, arXiv 2023.

#### Future work - unified video model

Current video models are still task-specific compared to image models.



[Zou 2023]

[Zhang 2022] GLIPv2: Unifying Localization and Vision-Language Understanding, Haotian Zhang et al, NeurIPS 2022. [Zou 2023] Generalized Decoding for Pixel, Image, and Language, Xueyan Zhou et al, CVPR 2023.

#### Future work - processing long videos

Can we do better than the standard uniform sampling of frames?



[Kim 2023] Semi-Parametric Video-Grounded Text Generation, Sungdong Kim et al, arXiv 2023.

[Yu 2023] Self-Chained Image-Language Model for Video Localization and Question Answering, Shoubin Yu et al, arXiv 2023.

### Future work - language models as annotators

#### Facilitate the collection of video datasets using language models.

#### **Context type 1: Captions**

A group of people standing outside of a black vehicle with various luggage. Luggage surrounds a vehicle in an underground parking area

People try to fit all of their luggage in an SUV.

The sport utility vehicle is parked in the public garage, being packed for a trip Some people with luggage near a van that is transporting it.

#### **Context type 2: Boxes**



person: [0.681, 0.242, 0.774, 0.694], person: [0.63, 0.222, 0.686, 0.516], person: [0.444, 0.233, 0.487, 0.34], backpack: [0.384, 0.696, 0.485, 0.914], backpack: [0.755, 0.413, 0.846, 0.692], suitcase: [0.758, 0.413, 0.845, 0.69], suitcase: [0.1, 0.497, 0.173, 0.579], bicycle: [0.282, 0.363, 0.327, 0.442], car: [0.786, 0.25, 0.848, 0.322], car: [0.783, 0.27, 0.827, 0.335], car: [0.86, 0.254, 0.891, 0.3], car: [0.261, 0.101, 0.787, 0.626]

#### **Response type 1: conversation**

Question: What type of vehicle is featured in the image? Answer: The image features a black sport utility vehicle (SUV). Question: Where is the vehicle parked? Answer: The vehicle is parked in an underground parking area, likely in a public garage. Question: What are the people in the image doing?

[Liu 2023] Answer: The people are trying to fit all of their luggage into the SUV, likely preparing for a trip.

[Liu 2023] Visual instruction tuning, Haotian Liu et al, arXiv 2023.

[Zhang 2023] Video-LLaMA: An Instruction-tuned Audio-Visual Language Model for Video Understanding, Hang Zhang et al, arXiv 2023.

#### Future work - multi-modality

Build models that can understand more modalities (audio), generate more as well (visual, audio), and learn modalities from one another.



[Girdhar 2023] IMAGEBIND: One Embedding Space To Bind Them All, Rohit Girdhar et al, CVPR 2023. [Tang 2023] Any-to-Any Generation via Composable Diffusion, Zineng Tang et al, arXiv 2023.